

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov						

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/563,245	06/02/2006	Shinji Tomita	053542	1265		
38834 7590 06/26/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNE	CTICUT AVENUE, NW	ZARROLI, N	ZARROLI, MICHAEL C			
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
***************************************	11, 20 2000		2839			
•	•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		•	06/26/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/563,245	TOMITA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael C. Zarroli	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	<u>ine 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-11 and 13-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 January 2006</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/06, 3/27/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/563,245 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the language alleging benefits. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by applicant cited Carney et al.

Carney discloses a lock assembly fig. 1 adapted to be detachably attached to a LAN-cable connector 21 which is integrally fixed to a LAN cable 62 and adapted to be removably inserted into a socket 73 and latched at an inserted position thereof fig. 3, said lock assembly being operable, when attached to the LAN-cable connector, to allow said LAN-cable connector after being inserted into said socket

Application/Control Number: 10/563,245

to be locked at said inserted position fig. 9, and, when detached from said LAN-cable connector, to allow said LAN-cable connector to be removed from said socket fig. 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2839

7. Claim 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant cited Carney et al in view of applicant cited Marson et al (US4911646). Carney discloses a lock assembly fig. 1 adapted to be detachably attached to a LAN-cable connector 21 which is integrally fixed to a corresponding one of a plurality of LAN cables 62 and adapted to be removably inserted into a corresponding one of a plurality of sockets 73 and latched at an inserted position thereof fig. 3, said lock assembly being operable, when attached to the LAN-cable connector, to allow said LAN-cable connectors after being inserted into said socket to be locked at said respective inserted positions fig. 9, and, when detached from said LAN-cable connector, to allow said plurality of LAN-cable connector to be removed from said corresponding socket fig. 8.

Carney does not disclose a plurality of connectors and cables.

Marson discloses a lock assembly fig. 28 attached to a plurality of connectors and corresponding cables. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Carney so that the lock assembly of Carney could be used with a plurality of connectors as taught by Marson. The suggestion for this change is found in Carney in column 1 lines 18
26. Well settled case law has shown that merely duplicating a part for multiple

Application/Control Number: 10/563,245 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

effect is not grounds for a patent, *In re Harza*, 274 F.2d 669, 671, 124 USPQ 378, 380 (CCPA 1960)

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2-11 & 13-25 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Basically the engagement means and restricting means where the restriction means restricts a latch flap from being released.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art listed in the accompanying PTO-892 teaches connector lock assembly.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Zarroli whose telephone number is 571-272-2101. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 3:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.C. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael C. Zarroli Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

MCZ MCZ